

Isotropic_viscous_lithosphere.docx & .pdf

by Peter Bird, 2019.05.13

showing derivation of the viscous strain-rate term in the NeoKinema objective function.

The general relation between strain-rate and deviatoric stress in viscous materials involves a fourth-rank tensor of viscosity coefficients. However, we will probably never have sufficient data to constrain so many coefficients. A popular and reasonable approximation is to begin with an assumption of isotropic viscosity. Here we also assume that the viscous flow-law is also linear or “Newtonian” (as opposed to “power-law”) with respect to the magnitudes of strain-rates.

According to Gerald Schubert, Donald L. Turcotte, & Peter Olson, 2001, Mantle Convection in the Earth and Planets,

“viscous dissipation” (mechanical energy converted to heat, per unit volume, per unit time) is:

$$\Phi = \tau_{ij} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \quad (6.9.3)$$

where τ_{ij} are stress components, u_i are velocity components, and x_j are Cartesian coordinates.

We also use their formula for stress in an isotropic, linear-viscous fluid,

$$\tau_{ij} = 2V e_{ij} + \left(k_B - \frac{2}{3}V \right) e_{kk} \delta_{ij} \quad (6.5.4)$$

where e_{ij} is a strain-rate component, δ_{ij} is a component of the Kronecker-delta matrix (identity matrix),

and V and k_B are shear- and bulk-viscosity parameters, respectively.

If viscous straining is the only kind of straining, and if volume is conserved, then $e_{kk} \equiv 0$,

and the right-hand term of (6.5.4) can be dropped. Now,

$$\Phi = \frac{V}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \quad (6.9.14)$$

which implies a sum over both i and j .

Let us rotate the coordinate axes to be parallel to the principal strain-rate axes.

Then, there are only 3 non-zero strain-rates (on the diagonal), and no rotation-rates,

and thus $\partial u_i / \partial x_j = 0$ for all cases where $i \neq j$.

Thus, we can rewrite (6.9.14) as

$$\Phi = \frac{V}{2} \left[(2e_{11})^2 + (2e_{22})^2 + (2e_{33})^2 \right] = 2V (e_{11}^2 + e_{22}^2 + e_{33}^2)$$

(Note that the ordering of the 3 axes is arbitrary, with no implication about relative magnitudes.)

Let \hat{x}_3 be the vertical direction. Since the lithosphere has a traction-free upper surface (except for atmospheric pressure and ocean pressure) the vertical direction is a principal-stress and principal-strain-rate axis. Then, using incompressibility again, replace

$$e_{33} = -(e_{11} + e_{22}).$$

Then, in terms of the 2 horizontal principal strain-rates,

$$\Phi = 2V (e_{11}^2 + e_{22}^2 + (e_{11} + e_{22})^2)$$

which is algebraically equivalent to:

$$\Phi = 2V (2e_{11}^2 + 2e_{11}e_{22} + 2e_{22}^2) = 4V (e_{11}^2 + e_{11}e_{22} + e_{22}^2)$$

Now, consider non-principal-axis horizontal coordinate directions (θ, ϕ) ,

with new axis direction $\hat{\theta}$ at an angle α (measured counterclockwise, in radians) from \hat{x}_1 .

Using Mohr's circle for strain-rates,

$$\text{define } c = \frac{e_{\theta\theta} + e_{\phi\phi}}{2} \text{ and } r = \sqrt{\left(\frac{e_{\theta\theta} - e_{\phi\phi}}{2}\right)^2 + e_{\theta\phi}^2}, \text{ and then we}$$

can express

$e_{11} = c - r$; $e_{22} = c + r$ (or the same with subscripts 1 & 2 reversed), and therefore

$$\Phi = 4V \left((c - r)^2 + (c - r)(c + r) + (c + r)^2 \right) =$$

$$4V \left(3c^2 + r^2 \right) = 4V \left(e_{\theta\theta}^2 + e_{\theta\theta}e_{\phi\phi} + e_{\phi\phi}^2 + e_{\theta\phi}^2 \right).$$

The quantity in the parentheses, in the right-hand form, is the quantity that is minimized in the objective function of **NeoKinema**. It is discussed specifically in equation (8) of the document "Appendix-Algorithm of NeoKinema".

Note that the *kinematic* F-E code **NeoKinema** replaces the viscosity coefficient ($4V$) of this *dynamic* derivation with a coefficient of $(1/\mu^2)$, where μ (or mu_ in Fortran code) is a scalar

characteristic strain-rate. This is reasonable because the stress-equilibrium equation (and plate-driving mantle dynamics) lead to deviatoric stress fields which are laterally smooth; therefore regions of low strain-rates are regions of high viscosity, and *vice versa*.

The other reason for this substitution is that Φ is in dimensional units ($W m^{-3}$),

but the objective function for **NeoKinema** requires terms that are dimensionless.